

## Darren Teoh Kang Ren

---

**From:** Sanjay Kim Singh Khaira  
**Sent:** Friday, 27 February 2026 6:52 pm  
**To:** All A&G Staff; Practice Trainees; All China Staff; All Indonesia Staff; All Myanmar Staff; All RL&P Staff; All Vietnam Staff  
**Subject:** A&GEL Insider - Issue 2  
**Importance:** High

ALLEN & GLEDHILL

# A&GEL INSIDER: ISSUE 2

Dispute Resolution Use Cases • Tips for Prompting

A&GEL DEVELOPMENT TEAM




Dear Colleagues

## A&GEL Insider Issue 2 – Dispute Resolution Use Cases

### 1. Dispute Resolution Use Cases: *generate summaries and initial drafts; analyse and track pleadings*

- **Generate case summaries:**

- **Prompts:** We have developed two prompts for summarising cases, one designed to generate a concise overview and the other to produce a more detailed analysis. They were initially adapted from SAL's published prompts and subsequently refined using generative AI (including by asking A&GEL itself to suggest improvements and to identify weaknesses in the original versions).



- In our experience, the “Detailed” version of the Case Summariser is generally superior to the “Concise” version, especially when used in relation to more complex judgments. A&GEL has been instructed to base its response exclusively on information contained in the source document (i.e. the given decision), but do note that it may have some difficulty differentiating between whether a particular point is the *ratio decidendi* or *obiter dicta*.
- Depending on the issues addressed in the decision, the outputs generated by these prompts must still be reviewed and verified in the usual manner — that is, checked thoroughly for accuracy

and completeness. In other words, these prompts are not “silver bullets”, but rather tools to provide an efficient starting point.

- We have been testing them on case law within the practice areas our team advises on (e.g. confidentiality and electronic transactions) and will share further insights from our findings in due course. As a preliminary observation, while there may be some variation in the specific points identified by A&GEL, the content has generally been accurate and does not misrepresent the court’s findings.
- **Use with the Guided Summary function:** A&GEL’s Guided Summary function (under the “Apps” mode) provides a detailed summary of a single document guided by a prompt. Simply select the document you wish to analyse, and (optionally) provide some additional context as to any specific points you are particularly concerned with.
  - By way of example, you can upload a Singapore Court decision to the Guided Summary function and pair it with the “Case Summariser (DETAILED) v 1.1” prompt. While there may be some variance in terms of the specific points picked up by A&GEL, this serves as a useful tool for a “quick start” to understanding a given decision. Please refer to the screenshot below for an example of a detailed summary generated by A&GEL.

The screenshot displays the output of the A&GEL Guided Summary function for a document titled "Hayate detailed summary - 1 File(s)". The interface includes a "Reuse" button and a "Download" button. The summary is structured as follows:

### 1. Case / Development Overview

**Case Name, Neutral Citation, Court, and Date of Decision**

- **Case Name:** Hayate Partners Pte Ltd v Rajan Sunil Kumar
- **Neutral Citation:** [2025] SGHC 41
- **Court:** High Court of Singapore (SGHC), General Division
- **Date of Decision:** 14 March 2025 (as indicated by "Version No 1: 14 Mar 2025 (13:27 hrs)" in the document header)

---

**Core Legal Issue(s) or Dispute**

The core dispute revolves around whether the defendant, Mr. Rajan Sunil Kumar, breached his contractual and equitable obligations of confidentiality by accessing, downloading, and retaining confidential information from the claimant's Google Drive after his employment terminated. Specifically, the claimant alleged that the defendant:

- Accessed, downloaded, and retained confidential company information on 8, 20, and 21 December 2021 — shortly before and on his last day of employment — for non-work-related purposes.
- Breached both his contractual obligations under Clause 3 and Clause 6 of the Letter of Appointment, and his equitable duties of confidence.

The claimant sought injunctive relief, delivery up of materials, and damages. The key legal questions included:

- Whether the Letter of Appointment imposed express contractual prohibitions against accessing/downloading for non-work purposes.
- Whether equity could impose additional obligations of confidence beyond the contract.
- Whether the defendant breached Clause 6 by retaining information post-termination.
- The sufficiency of pleadings in identifying confidential information.
- The factual determination of retention and deletion, including the device used for downloads.
- The proper framework for breach of confidence claims — whether the Coco (wrongful gain) or I-Admin (wrongful loss) approach applied.

---

**Distinct Heads of Law Deliberated by the Court**

- Contractual Breach of Confidentiality (Clause 3 and Clause 6)
- Equitable Breach of Confidence (Application of I-Admin vs. Coco Frameworks)
- Characterisation of Defendant as a "Taker" of Confidential Information
- Quality of Confidentiality of the Information
- Whether Defendant's Conscience Was Affected
- Breach of Contractual Obligations under Clause 6
- Factual Determination: Device Used for Downloads (20 December 2021)
- Retention of Skype Chat Logs (21 December 2021)
- Availability and Scope of Remedies (Injunction, Delivery Up, Damages)
- Deficiencies in Pleadings — Whether Unpleaded Facts Could Be Relied Upon
- Interplay Between Contractual and Equitable Duties

- **Generate initial drafts:**

- **Defence:** We have developed a prompt, based on SAL’s published prompts, to generate a first draft of a Defence in response to a Statement of Claim filed by the counterparty. However, please note that this prompt has not yet been extensively tested. If you intend to use it, please clone and adapt the prompt as necessary, and ensure that sufficient context is incorporated.




- **Legal updates:** We have developed a prompt designed to generate client-facing legal updates based on a case summary. The prompt incorporates a short sample article written by Knowledge Management to guide the style, structure, and tone of the output. As this prompt was created for an article on employment law, do clone and adapt it to suit your specific update.



- **Analyse pleadings:** We have prepared a number of prompts for analysing pleadings – these have not been extensively tested so do try them out and clone and improve them and share them with other users.
  - **Analyse a Statement of Claim:** We have prepared this prompt to analyse a Statement of Claim, instructing that the output include the following: (a) executive summary; (b) chronology of key events; (c) assessment of the sufficiency of the pleadings against the requirements in the Rules of Court 2021; (d) key legal issues and preliminary objections; (e) immediate action items; and (f) recommended next steps.



- **Analyse a Defence:** We have prepared this prompt to analyse a Defence and extract key points, including the defence strategy employed and any gaps where allegations in the Statement of Claim are not specifically addressed.



- **Analyse the sufficiency of pleadings:** We have prepared a prompt for analysing the sufficiency of pleadings. As this prompt was originally designed to assess the sufficiency of a Defence, please clone and adapt it to suit the specific pleading you are reviewing.



- Using REVIEW as a **Pleadings Tracker**:

- **Parties' position taken over the dispute's lifetime – at a glance:** In Review Mode, treat each cause of action listed in the Statement of Claim as a guiding question to systematically examine and challenge the positions advanced by the parties in their pleadings and affidavits.

Questions	Statement_of_Claim.pdf	Defence__OK_Lim.pdf	Reply_to_OK_Lim_Defence.pdf
Fraudulent Misrepresentation in Financing Transactions	Yes – the 1st to 3rd Defendants made false representations regarding HLT's financial accounts and financing security with intent to deceive Natixis into providing FSU and LC Financing.	The defendant denies making false representations regarding HLT's financial accounts and financing security fraudulently to induce Natixis.	The document does not address the allegation of deceit or fraudulent misrepresentation regarding HLT's financial accounts or financing security.
Inducing Breach of Contract Claims	Yes – the Lim Family induced HLT to breach the Facility Agreement and induced OTPL and vessel owners to breach the Storage and Trade BL contracts, as pleaded in [106] and [236]-[238].	Mr OK Lim denies inducing HLT or OTPL/vessel owners to breach any Facility or BL contracts.	The document does not contain any direct statement or denial regarding inducing breach of contract related to the Facility Agreement or BL contracts.
Conversion of Pledged Cargo by Lim Group	Yes – the Lim Group Companies were induced by the Lim Family to wrongfully misappropriate and deal with the Pledged Cargo, as pleaded in paragraphs [240] to [242] and related claims.	Mr OK Lim denies inducing the Lim Group Companies to wrongfully misappropriate or deal with the Pledged Cargo.	There is no mention or allegation of conversion or wrongful misappropriation of pledged cargo in the provided document.

- Breakdown of the steps


- **Generating the question list from Chat mode:** First, upload the Statement of Claim to your files or into Chat directly. You may consider using our suggested prompt below or modify it to suit the Statement of Claim more closely. A&GEL should generate a list of the causes of action.

*“You are a litigation lawyer with 20 years of experience. Take a deep breath and focus.*


*The document uploaded is a Statement of Claim. Your task is to come up with a point form summary for the Statement of Claim. Each bullet point should cover (a) one cause of action, (b) identify the defendant the cause of action is against, (c) the factual assertions which the claimant relies upon in respect of the cause of action, and (d) the remedies sought against for this cause of action. Please present every sub-point within the same bullet point and avoid using sub-level bullet points. The content of each bullet point must be extracted from this document. If there are multiple defendants, please cover the causes of action against the 1st defendant first before covering the 2nd defendant and so on.*

*Be comprehensive, but concise, meaning that all content directly relevant to each cause of action should be summarised and referenced. Be precise, meaning that the summaries should avoid vague wording and should be directly using the phrasing of this document.”*


- Use the question list on the Statement of Claim in Review:
  - Copy the question list generated in Chat mode, switch to Review mode, and click on Quick questions (shown in screen shot below).



- After clicking on Quick Questions, paste the question list under the “Add Questions” box (shown in second screen shot below) and click “Add +”. You may either drag and drop your Statement of Claim or select the file that you previously uploaded into your A&GEL files. Then press submit and the table should appear.



- You may add more questions / causes of action / legal elements by clicking on “+ Add Row”, and add more files / pleadings / affidavits by clicking on “+ Add Column”. You may also export the table in excel format by clicking on Download.



- **Other document intensive use cases:** We also see the potential of using the ability of Review Mode to pose multiple questions to multiple documents for other document intensive use cases e.g., discovery, document review, reviewing voluminous affidavits.

Specialised mode

Criminal Investigation Statement Details - 55 File(s) Reuse


+ Add Row + Add Column Inverse Show All Columns Show All Rows Reset View Drag borders to resize

Questions	Affidavit_40_Rachel_Lim_Steel_Hawks.pdf	Affidavit_18_Mia_Chong_Steel_Hawks.pdf	Affidavit_36_Daniel_Tan_Iron_Vanguard.pdf	Affidavit_37_Nicole_Chua_Shadow_Syndicate.pdf
Appropriate Title for Question	Rachel Lim arrived at the location at approximately 9:59 pm on January 15, 2026, as instructed by her gang leader for a planned confrontation with the Crimson Vipers. <span>Verify</span>	Mia Chong arrived at approximately 10:00 pm on January 15, 2026, armed with a metal rod, under the instruction of her gang captain, Ken, to prepare for a confrontation; her role was defensive, she pleaded Not Guilty, was charged under Section 148 for rioting armed with a deadly weapon, and was sentenced to 4 years 6 months imprisonment; she admitted to participating in the fight by assisting members and positioning herself for cover, sustained a minor graze on her left hand from broken glass, and did not witness the deaths but observed two individuals collapse during the fight. <span>Verify</span>	Daniel Tan is a ranking member of the Iron Vanguard who participated in the melee on January 15, 2026, armed with a reinforced hose, engaged in combat, sustained injuries, and witnessed theft at Riverside Electronics. He has prior convictions for rioting and causing hurt with dangerous weapons, and received a 4-year 6-month prison sentence and 12 strokes of the cane. <span>Verify</span>	Nicole Chua arrived at the scene at approximately 9:56 pm as backup for the Crimson Vipers, armed with a wooden dowel for self-defense, with no intention to cause serious harm. <span>Verify</span>
Gang Affiliation Identification	Rachel Lim is a member of the Steel Hawks. <span>Verify</span>	The deponent is a member of the Steel Hawks gang. <span>Verify</span>	The deponent is a member of the Iron Vanguard. <span>Verify</span>	Nicole Chua is a member of the Shadow Syndicate. <span>Verify</span>
Reason for Presence and Orders Received	Rachel Lim was instructed by her gang leader, Ken, to gather and participate in the confrontation for defensive purposes; she was not ordered to harm or kill anyone. <span>Verify</span>	Mia Chong was instructed by her gang captain, Ken, to gather at the location in preparation for a confrontation; she was present for defensive purposes, not to engage in violence. <span>Verify</span>	Daniel Tan was instructed by his group's leader as part of a mutual assistance pact with the Steel Hawks to provide tactical reinforcement; his presence was to fulfill the Iron Vanguard's treaty obligations. <span>Verify</span>	Nicole Chua was instructed by her leader, 'Ghost,' to attend as backup for the Crimson Vipers in a standoff against the Steel Hawks; she was present due to this direct order and the allied relationship between the gangs. <span>Verify</span>

- Please note that the prompts listed above are tailored to specific practice areas or types of documents. Please clone and adapt each prompt as needed, ensuring that relevant context is included based on your particular matter or use case.

## 2. Tips for prompting: test and improve your prompts

- **Test your prompt:** An effective way to gauge a prompt's effectiveness is to compare A&GEL's output against a "model answer" or reference output you have already prepared.
  - For instance, if you are developing a prompt to summarise a case, refer to a case summary you have previously prepared and are familiar with as your benchmark. Run your summary prompt and assess A&GEL's output against your prior work.
  - If the output aligns closely with your benchmark, your prompt is likely well-developed. If it does not, identify the specific gaps and refine your prompt accordingly – for example, by adding further context (do refer to A&GEL Insider Issue 1 for further elaboration on the importance of context).
- **Improve your prompt:** Consider using the "Improve My Prompt v1.0" tool available in your prompt library to further refine your prompt.



As always, if you encounter any issues, please Teams message or e-mail our A&GEL Developer, Sanjay, ([tsanksk@agasia.law](mailto:tsanksk@agasia.law)); it'll be sufficient if you can provide the following 3 details:

- **Timestamp (e.g. "a chat I started at 4:31PM today")**

- Feature in issue (e.g. “tried uploading a file in Analysis – Deep Research, it did not load”)
- Brief Description or screenshots

Cheers,

A&GEL Development Team



## FOR INTERNAL USE ONLY



### Sanjay Khaira

A&GEL Developer | Allen & Gledhill LLP

**M** +65 9106 1205 | [Call me on Microsoft Teams](#) | **T** +65 6890 7188 (General Line) | **F** | [tsanksk@agasia.law](mailto:tsanksk@agasia.law)

One Marina Boulevard #28-00 Singapore 018989 | [www.allenandgledhill.com](http://www.allenandgledhill.com)

Our A&G email domain has transitioned to "[@agasia.law](mailto:@agasia.law)". Incoming emails sent to our previous domain "[@allenandgledhill.com](mailto:@allenandgledhill.com)" will continue to be received by our email servers.

All outgoing emails will utilise our new "[@agasia.law](mailto:@agasia.law)" domain. You may wish to whitelist our new email domain to ensure successful receipt going forward. Our website will remain the same: [www.allenandgledhill.com](http://www.allenandgledhill.com)

***Be environmentally friendly, think before you print!***

Allen & Gledhill LLP (UEN/Registration No. T07LL0925F) is registered in Singapore under the Limited Liability Partnerships Act 2005 with limited liability. A list of the Partners and their professional qualifications may be inspected at the address specified above.

**CONFIDENTIALITY CAUTION:** This message is intended only for the use of the individual or entity to whom it is addressed and is privileged and confidential. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify us immediately by return e-mail, delete this message and you should not disseminate, distribute or copy any information contained herein.